



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/180,340	08/20/1999	NANCY W.Y. HO	7024109PUR48	6674		
26813 7:	590 04/20/2004		EXAMINER			
MUETING, RAASCH & GEBHARDT, P.A.			ROBINSON, HOPE A			
P.O. BOX 5814			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINNEALOLI	13, WIN 33436		1653			
				DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/180,340	HO ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hope A. Robinson	1653					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 02 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFF	g date of the final rejection E FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appro	on. See MPEP opriate extension				
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	he shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mails	originally set in the final	Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 April 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) Ithey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	• • •						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.⊠ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See the continuation page</u> .							
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-34</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.	i				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement			0 011				
10. Other:		CHRISTOPH SUPERVISORY P	ER S. F. LOW ATENT EXAMINER CENTER 1600				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment filed raises new issues under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, see for example claims 18 and 21. Claim 18 item (i) is confusing and appears to be missing information and transitional phrases. Regarding claim 21, the claim lacks anteceden basis for "said genes". The amendment once entered will obviate rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph of record. As the amendment was not entered the rejections of record under 35 U.S.C. 103 remains.